(4)

(1)

Attested

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 411 OF 1995

ONER :- Vishwamitra Kaushik s/o Madanswarup Sharma aged about 56 years Assistant Executive Quarter No.4-D Cross Street No.4 Sector No.5 Bhilai District Durg, ~~M.R.~~-Pin: 49006(MP).

VERSUS

NDENTS :- 1. Steel Authority of India Ltd. Through Managing Director, Bhilai Steel Plant Bhilai District Durg, M.P.

2. The Manager (P&E) Bhilai Steel Plant Bhilai, District Durg, M.P.

3. V.K.Chaturvedi Enquiry Authority and Assistant General Manager (PR & Hospitality) Bhilai Steel Plant Bhilai Distt. Durg, M.P.

4. Gautam Bhattacharya Enquiring Authority Bhilai Steel Plant Bhilai, M.P.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(117)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI JAGDISH BHALLA, Ag.CJ

Writ Petition No. 411 of 1995

PETITIONERS

1. Smt. Krishna Kaushik widow of Shri Vishwamitra Kaushik aged 54 years.
2. Chandra Shekhar Kaushik S/o Vishwamitra Kaushik, aged 30 years.
3. Rajshekhar Kaushik S/o Vishwamitra Kaushik, aged 26 years.
4. Lalin Kaushik S/o Vishwamitra Kaushik, aged 23 years.

All resident of No. 4-D Cross Street No.4, Sector-5, Bhilai (M.P.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Steel Authority of India Ltd. Through Managing Director, Bhilai Steel Plant Bhilai District Durg, M.P.
2. The Manager (P&E) Bhilai Steel Plant Bhilai, District Durg, M.P.
3. V.K. Chaturvedi Enquiry Authority and Assistant General Manager (PR & Hospitality) Bhilai Steel Plant Bhilai Distt. Durg, M.P.
4. Gautam Bhattacharya Enquiring Authority Bhilai Steel Plant Bhilai, M.P.

Petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India

Present: Shri H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Miss Sangeeta Mishra, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri P.K. Bhaduri, counsel for the respondents No. 1 & 2.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 22nd June, 2007)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not want to press this matter on merits. However, he submits that he would restrict his request to decide his appeal which was filed on 10th January 1995 which has not been disposed of till date.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents is not in a position to inform this Court whether the appeal has been disposed of or not. In case, the appeal has not been decided till now, let the same be considered and disposed of in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by the Authority concerned.

With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Acting Chief Justice